## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

## Original Application No. 87 of 2015

## Social Action for Forest & Environment (SAFE) Vs. Union of India & Ors.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE PROF. A.R. YOUSUF, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant:

Mr. Rahul Choudhary, Mr. Saurabh Sharma and Ms. Meera Gopal,

	Advs.
Respondent No. 1:	Dr. Abhishek Atrey adn Mr. Sumit Razora, Advs.
	for MoEF
<b>Respondent No. 2:</b>	Mr. Ardhenumauli Kumar Prasad and Mr.
	Panshul Chandra, Advs. MoWR
<b>Respondent No. 3:</b>	Mr. Suryanarayan Singh, Sr. Addl. Adv. Gen.
	State of Himachal Pradesh
Respondent No. 4:	Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, Mr. Vivek Singh and Mr.
	Vinayak Gupta, Advs.
	Mr. Mukesh Verma and Mr. Devesh Kumar
	Agnihotri, Advs.
	Mr. Rituraj Biswa <mark>s, Mr. Gopal Sin</mark> gh and Ms.
	Varsha Poddar, Advs.
	Ms. Malavika Rajkotia and Mr. Jiten Mehra,
	Advs. for IAPRO

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 01	Learned counsel appearing for the State of
August 23, 2016	Uttarakhand has placed before us a detailed map showing
AZ	the line of middle of the river, 100 meter from the middle
	of the river and the proposed sites for beach camps.
	We direct State of Uttarakhand to file a personal
	affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary (Chairman of the
	Committee) in consultation with Principal Chief
	Conservator of Forest of State of Uttarakhand which shall
	state as to why a particular site like Site No. 1 is being
	rejected, reasons thereof duly supported with revenue
	records, the details as directed in relation to Site No. 9
	and likewise. They will further state what is the area
	falling immediately adjacent to the red line on the map
	and why the Beach camp cannot be extended beyond the
	red line and/or why the restricted area beyond the red line



